**REMARKS** 

Claims 1-9 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-4 and 6-8 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

Docket No.: 0649-1081PUS1

over Shinichi (JP 11-048856) in further view of Fujino et al. (USP 6,540,386). This rejection is

respectfully traversed.

Shinichi discloses, in Fig. 4, a front fender 6 of a vehicle having a flange that extends in a

lateral direction of the vehicle. A hole 40 is formed in the flange. Shinichi also discloses a lamp

unit 4 having a pin 32 extending from a lamp housing 16. Upon attaching the lamp unit 4 to the

vehicle, the pin 32 is inserted in the hole 40.

Shinichi, however, does not have "positioning abutment parts provided in said lamp unit

and said outer panel member, and said positioning abutment parts abut one another when said

positioning projection has been inserted into said positioning hole, to thereby position said lamp

unit at a predetermined location along a protruding direction of said positioning projection," as

recited in claim 1.

The Examiner relies on the Fujino reference to show a fastening member having a

plurality of positioning projections.

Applicants respectfully submit, however, that even assuming that Shinichi and Fujino can

be combined, which Applicants do not admit, Shinichi in view of Fujino fails to disclose or

suggest the "positioning abutment parts" as recited in claim 1.

TCB/MH/pjh Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

Application No.: 10/667,372

Reply dated October 30, 2006

to Office Action of July 31, 2006

Page 8 of 9

Claims 2-4 and 6-8, variously dependent on claim 1, are allowable at least for their

Docket No.: 0649-1081PUS1

dependency on claim 1.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication that claims 5 and 9 would be allowable

if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Claims 5 and 9, however, are allowable at least for their dependency on claim 1.

A favorable determination by the Examiner and allowance of these claims is earnestly

solicited.

Conclusion

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the

rejections and objections, and allowance of the pending claims are earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Maki Hatsumi (#40,417) at the

telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite

prosecution in connection with the present application.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

TCB/MH/pjh

Application No.: 10/667,372 Docket No.: 0649-1081PUS1

Reply dated October 30, 2006

to Office Action of July 31, 2006

Page 9 of 9

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension

of time fees.

Dated: October 30, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

for

By/

Terrell C. Birch

Registration No.: 19,382

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

TCB/MH/pjh